



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2021

---

## **The multiplication of loaves and fishes approach: A Critic to Double anti-thrombotics or to Double number of ischemic events?**

Gargiulo, Giuseppe ; Cannon, Christopher P ; Gibson, C Michael ; Lopes, Renato D ; Vranckx, Pascal ; Valgimigli, Marco

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa141>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-196374>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Gargiulo, Giuseppe; Cannon, Christopher P; Gibson, C Michael; Lopes, Renato D; Vranckx, Pascal; Valgimigli, Marco (2021). The multiplication of loaves and fishes approach: A Critic to Double anti-thrombotics or to Double number of ischemic events? *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy*, 7(3):e29-e30.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa141>

## The multiplication of loaves and fishes approach: a critic to double anti-thrombotics or to double number of ischaemic events?

We thank Dr Galli and colleagues for their interest in our meta-analysis.<sup>1,2</sup>

We agree that a very short duration of triple antithrombotic therapy (TAT) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) may expose patients with an indication to oral anticoagulation (OAC) to higher ischaemic risk as previously reported and commented upon.<sup>3,4</sup> The proposed strategy of delaying dual therapy (DAT) onset by some weeks (e.g. 1 month) in OAC patients undergoing PCI seems a reasonable compromise and is being tested against a still relatively short TAT duration of 3-month DAPT in the @@@Management of High Bleeding Risk Patients Post Bioresorbable Polymer Coated Stent Implantation With an Abbreviated vs. Standard DAPT Regimen (MASTER-DAPT) Study.<sup>5</sup>

Our current meta-analysis integrates the previous ones by clarifying the role of clinical presentation (acute or chronic coronary syndrome, ACS or CCS) on safety and efficacy of DAT vs. TAT<sup>1</sup> and the novel message is that the small, yet potentially clinically relevant, ischaemic risks associated with very short TAT does not seem to be confined to ACS patients only.

We respectfully disagree that our primary analysis should have excluded ACS who did not receive index PCI from the AUGUSTUS. As clarified in a previous response letter,<sup>6</sup> the rationale for including all study participants from AUGUSTUS, firstly, comes from the need to respect the randomization process while avoiding arbitrary selection of a post-randomization subgroups, which randomization was not stratified for. We did exclude patients with ACS without PCI in a sensitivity analysis, which confirmed the absence of interaction between clinical presentation and ischaemic or bleeding endpoints and which should not be misinterpreted as suggested by the authors. Subgroup analysis interpretation should be<sup>7,8</sup> based on

the presence or absence of significant heterogeneity across strata (ACS and CCS) instead of superiority statistics within each stratum (e.g. PCI population or dabigatran doses).

Secondly, Galli *et al.* statement that ACS without PCI comprises patients who cannot experience stent thrombosis (ST) is not accurate. Galli *et al.* may want to value the fact that even patients who did not receive PCI or stent implantation at the time of the qualifying event may still be exposed to the risk of ST because of stenting procedures performed before the qualifying visit. Indeed, 2 of the overall 32 definite/probable ST cases observed during the study occurred in patients allocated to DAT who received stent implantation prior to the qualifying event and were therefore allocated in the ACS no PCI stratum.<sup>6</sup> We assume Galli *et al.* do not fundamentally disagree with this approach considering that they also included all AUGUSTUS patients in a prior meta-analysis focusing on ST risks.<sup>9</sup>

The point raised on the ST definition is an interesting one. We simply opted for the primary ST definition for each of the four included trials, consisting of definite ST for three studies and definite or probable ST for AUGUSTUS. The statement that the Academic Research Consortium-2 recommends against the use of definite or probable ST is not precise (the consortium recommends against the use of possible ST due its low specificity). We again assume Galli *et al.* would agree with this approach considering that they also included definite or probable ST in the same prior meta-analysis.<sup>9</sup> It would have been desirable to use a consistent ST definition across trials but the rates of definite ST in patients with or without ACS have not been published for the AUGUSTUS trial.

Galli *et al.* are also invited to read more carefully our manuscript regarding the apparent inconsistency on ST risk in this compared to our prior meta-analysis, as we wrote: 'Due to missing information on ACS or SCAD presentation, the present analysis excluded 41 (0.4%) among the 10 234 originally included patients across the four selected trials, which explains the apparently inconsistent findings on ST in this compared with a prior meta-analysis'.<sup>1</sup>

We pre-specified to pool both dabigatran doses for the RE-DUAL PCI and run sensitivity analyses for each of the employed dabigatran regimens.<sup>3</sup> This approach respects the RE-DUAL trial design and has been used also by other authors,<sup>10</sup> including Galli *et al.*<sup>9</sup> The tactic of using both doses separately in the same pooled analysis is highly questionable due to the duplication of the control group population, which is a mere artefact. RE-DUAL PCI included 981 patients in the TAT group and acuity of clinical presentation was known for 980 (475 ACS and 505 CCS) in whom a total of 29 myocardial infarction (MI) events occurred (16 in ACS and 13 in CCS) and were included in the present analysis. With the authors' approach, which follows the 'multiplication of loaves and fishes' principle, the TAT group now comprises as many as 1743 patients (844 ACS and 899 CCS) in whom a total of 51 MI events has apparently occurred (27 in ACS and 24 in CCS), which is obviously a pure fabrication and does not correspond to reality. We assume Galli *et al.* would agree with this approach considering that they also recently applied the same.<sup>9</sup>

Finally, testing each dabigatran dose separately against the TAT group further introduces serious biases in the analysis due to different patient eligibility towards the two tested dabigatran regimens across participating regions. In RE-DUAL PCI, all patients in the USA and non-elderly patients in other countries were randomly assigned to the 110-mg DAT, the 150-mg DAT, or TAT in a 1:1:1 ratio. Elderly patients outside the USA were randomly assigned to the 110-mg DAT or TAT in a 1:1 ratio; they were not eligible to be assigned to the 150-mg DAT, in accordance with the recommendations of the dabigatran label in those countries.

**Conflict of interest:** Dr G.G. reports consultant/speaker fees from Daiichi Sankyo, outside the submitted work. Dr C.P.C. reports research grants from Amgen, Boehringer-Ingelheim (BI), Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Daiichi Sankyo, Janssen, Merck, Pfizer and consulting fees from Aegerion, Alnylam, Amarin, Amgen, Applied Therapeutics, Ascendia, BI, BMS, Corvidia, Eli Lilly, HLS Therapeutics, Innovent, Janssen, Kowa, Merck, Pfizer,

Rhoshan, Sanofi. Dr C.M.G. receives research funds from Janssen and Johnson & Johnson. He receives consulting funds from Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, and Bayer. Dr R.D.L. reports grants and personal fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Pfizer, personal fees from Boehringer-Ingelheim and Bayer AG, and grants from Amgen Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, Medtronic PLC, and sanofi-aventis. Dr P.V. discloses personal fees from Daiichi Sankyo, AstraZeneca, Bayer Health Care, and Terumo outside the submitted work. Dr M.V. reports grants and personal fees from Abbott, personal fees from Chiesi, Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Amgen, Alvimedica, Biosensors, and Idorsia, grants and personal fees from Terumo and Astrazeneca, grants from Medicure, outside the submitted work.

## References

- Gargiulo G, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Goette A, Lopes RD, Oldgren J, Korjian S, Windecker S, Esposito G, Vranckx P, Valgimigli M. Safety and efficacy of double versus triple antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation with or without acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a collaborative meta-analysis of NOAC-based randomized clinical trials. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2020;doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa116.
- Galli M, Andreotti F, D'Amario D, Crea F, Porto I. Double or triple antithrombotic therapy for patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: not a matter of faith. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2020;doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa139.
- Gargiulo G, Goette A, Tijssen J, Eckardt L, Lewalter T, Vranckx P, Valgimigli M. Safety and efficacy outcomes of double vs. triple antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation following percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:3757–3767.
- Vranckx P, Valgimigli M, Eckardt L, Tijssen J, Lewalter T, Gargiulo G, Batushkin V, Campo G, Lysak Z, Vakaliuk I, Milewski K, Laeis P, Reimitz PE, Smolnik R, Zierhut W, Goette A. Edoxaban-based versus vitamin K antagonist-based antithrombotic regimen after successful coronary stenting in patients with atrial fibrillation (ENTRUST-AF PCI): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet* 2019;**394**:1335–1343.
- Frigoli E, Smits P, Vranckx P, Ozaki Y, Tijssen J, Jüni P, Morice MC, Onuma Y, Windecker S, Frenk A, Spaulding C, Chevalier B, Barbato E, Tonino P, Hildick-Smith D, Roffi M, Kornowski R, Schultz C, Lesiak M, Iñiguez A, Colombo A, Alasnag M, Mulasari A, James S, Stankovic G, Ong PJJ, Rodriguez AE, Mahfoud F, Bartunek J, Moschovitis A, Laanmets P, Leonardi S, Heg D, Sunnåker M, Valgimigli M. Design and rationale of the Management of High Bleeding Risk Patients Post Bioresorbable Polymer Coated Stent Implantation with an Abbreviated versus Standard DAPT Regimen (MASTER DAPT) study. *Am Heart J* 2019;**209**:97–105.
- Gargiulo G, Goette A, Vranckx P, Valgimigli M. Higher risk of stent thrombosis with double therapy with direct oral anticoagulants: cherry picking the populations of interest does not help. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:1701–1702.
- Sun X, Briel M, Busse JW, You JJ, Akl EA, Mejza F, Bala MM, Bassler D, Mertz D, Diaz-Granados N, Vandvik PO, Malaga G, Srinathan SK, Dahm P, Johnston BC, Alonso-Coello P, Hassounah B, Walter SD, Heels-Ansdell D, Bhatnagar N, Altman DG, Guyatt GH. Credibility of claims of subgroup effects in randomized controlled trials: systematic review. *BMJ* 2012;**344**:e1553.
- Valgimigli M, Gargiulo G, Jüni P. Radial versus femoral access for cardiac catheterisation—authors' reply. *Lancet* 2015;**386**:2394.
- Galli M, Andreotti F, D'Amario D, Porto I, Crea F. Stent thrombosis with dual antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation-ACS/PCI trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;**75**:1727–1728.
- Golwala HB, Cannon CP, Steg PG, Doros G, Qamar A, Ellis SG, Oldgren J, Ten Berg JM, Kimura T, Hohnloser SH, Lip GYH, Bhatt DL. Safety and efficacy of dual vs. triple antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation following percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:1726–1735a.

**Giuseppe Gargiulo** <sup>1</sup>,  
**Christopher P. Cannon** <sup>2,3</sup>,  
**Charles Michael Gibson** <sup>4</sup>, **Renato D. Lopes** <sup>5</sup>, **Pascal Vranckx** <sup>6</sup>, and  
**Marco Valgimigli** <sup>7,8,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University of Naples, Naples, Italy;

<sup>2</sup>Cardiovascular Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Heart and Vascular Center and Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA; <sup>3</sup>Baim Institute for Clinical Research, 930-W Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA; <sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>5</sup>Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, 200 Morris Street, Durham, NC 27701, USA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Jessa Ziekenhuis, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences at the Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; <sup>7</sup>CardioCentro Ticino, Via Tesserete 48, Lugano, 6900, Switzerland; and <sup>8</sup>University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse 10, Bern, 3010, Switzerland

\*Corresponding author. Tel: (+41 91) 805 3347, Email: [marco.valgimigli@cardiocentro.org](mailto:marco.valgimigli@cardiocentro.org)